

National & Local Performance Indicators - At each stage of life people are able to live healthy, fulfilling lives

Sport		Our Performance					Comparison			Future Years				
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	2005/06 All England Top Quartile	Position against All England Quartiles	2005/06 Core Cities Average	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target	
Council Priority Indicators														
CP-SP50	Increase the percentage of adults participating in at least 30 minutes moderate intensity sport and active recreation (including walking) on 3 or more days a week	new indicator			38%	39%	25%	no comparative data			1% increase per annum (1)	1% increase per annum (1)	1% increase per annum (1)	
CP-SP51	Percentage of local people who are satisfied with sports and leisure facilities (LCC Annual Residents' Survey)	new indicator			54%	increase	n/a (2)				increase	increase	n/a (2)	
Best Value Indicators														
BV-119a	% of residents satisfied with sports and leisure facilities	not required	78%	not required	n/a	57% (3)	63% (2006/07 All England Top Quartile)	Middle (after Confidence Interval applied)	57% (2006/07 Core Cities Average)	n/a	n/a	see footnote (4)		
Local Key Indicators														
LKI-SP9c	The total number of visits to swimming pools	new indicator			4124430	4,105,506	3,950,000	4,152,075	no comparative data			4,100,000 (5)	3,700,000 (5)	3,800,000 (5)

Footnotes:

- These targets have been set in accordance with the Department for Culture, Media and Sports Public Service Agreement 3.
- The LCC Annual Residents' Survey is not carried out in the same year as the Best Value General Survey is undertaken, therefore as the BV survey was carried out this year, there is no result available for this indicator and a target is not applicable for 2009/10 which is the next year the BV survey will be undertaken.
- Satisfaction surveys in 2003/04 and 2006/07 are not directly comparable as in 2003/04 face to face surveys were performed whilst in 2006/07 postal surveys were used (as per government guidelines).
- Targets for Best Value General Survey indicators will be confirmed the year prior to the next survey (to be undertaken in 2009/10) in order to take into account any factors affecting performance which may influence customer satisfaction.
- The target for 2007/08 has slightly increased on what was specified at the beginning 2006/07. This is due to the fact that relative performance in 2006/07 has been better than expected. The 2008/09 target remains as originally specified due to the predicted closure of sites due to the Private Finance Initiative developments. The 2009/10 target is slightly higher as it is hoped the Private Finance Initiative project will be completed by this time. However, until a comprehensive capital investment strategy is completed it is difficult to accurately determine targets.

Social Services for Older People		Our Performance					Comparison			Future Years			
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	National PAF Optimum Performance Levels			2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target
Council Priority Indicators													
CP-OP50 PAF-C26	Reduce the number of older people who are admitted to residential and/or nursing care per 10,000 population aged 65 or over	115	97.4	93.3	89.8	85	Definition changed see below (1)	see below			n/a	n/a	n/a
CP-OP51 PAF-C72	Reduce the number of older people who are admitted to residential and/or nursing care per 10,000 population aged 65 or over	amended indicator				85	86	PAF 5* banding			85 (2)	85 (2)	85 (2)
Best Value Indicators													
BV-53 PAF-C28	The number of household receiving intensive home care per 1,000 population aged 65 and over	13.70	15.02	16.90	10.40	11.00	9.90	PAF 3* banding			10.00 (3)	10.00 (3)	10.00 (3)
BV-54 PAF-C32	The number of adults aged 65 or over helped to live at home per 1,000 adults aged 65 and over	133.00	133.70	130.80	117.00	115.00	74.70	PAF 2* banding			88.00 (4)	88.00 (4)	88.00 (4)
BV-195 PAF-D55	Acceptable waiting times for assessments	new indicator	51.6%	42.9%	66.5%	80.0%	82.2%	PAF 3* banding			85.0%	90.0%	95.0%
BV-196 PAF-D56	Acceptable waiting times for care packages	new indicator	83.1%	86.4%	84.6%	88.0%	76.8%	PAF 2* banding			85% (5)	90.0%	90% (6)

Footnotes:

- This indicator was aligned to PAF-C26 the definition of which has changed for 2006/07. A result for CP-OP50 will not be reported for 2006/07, a new indicator (CP-OP51) has been created for the replacement indicator which is aligned to PAF-C72
- The targets for this indicator have been changed from those published last year to ensure performance remains in line with the 5* PAF banding
- The targets for this indicator have been changed from those published last year and remain static. This is due to the councils aim to increase the number of adults 65 or over living independently at home.
- The targets for this indicator have been changed from those published last year as the indicator results no longer include 'a wide range of equipment it provides as a reviewable service'. As this has led to less people being included in the result, the targets have been changed to reflect this and have been set at the upper end of the 'acceptable' threshold.
- The target has been changed from that published last year, to ensure performance is in line with the 4* PAF banding
- The target for this indicator remains static for 2009/10 to ensure performance is in line with the 5* PAF banding

Social Services for Adults		Our Performance						Comparison			Future Years		
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	National PAF Optimum Performance Levels			2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target
Council Priority Indicators													
CP-SSA50 BV-56 PAF- D54	Percentage of items of equipment and adaptations delivered within 7 working days	Amended Indicator	87%	89%	82%	85%	89%	PAF 5* banding			89% (1)	90%	90
CP-SSA51 BV-201 PAF-C51	The number of adults and older people receiving direct payments at 31 March per 100,000 population aged 18 years or over (age standardised by age groups)	new indicator	13	21	31	43	40	PAF 3* banding			95 (1)	115 (1)	130
Local Key Indicators													
LKI-SS23 PAF-D39	The percentage of adults and older people receiving a statement of their needs and how they will be met	99%	97%	91%	96%	97%	98%	PAF 4* banding			99% (1)	99% (1)	99.0%

Footnotes:

1. Targets have been changed from those published last year to improve performance in line with the 5* PAF bandings

Housing Management		Our Performance						Comparison			Future Years		
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	2005/06 All England Top Quartile	Position against All England Quartiles	2005/06 Core Cities Average	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target
Council Priority Indicators													
CP-HM53	Reduce the percentage of Leeds households that are vulnerable and suffer from fuel poverty	new indicator			14.3%	13.6% (10.2% stretch target)	27.5% (1)	no comparative data			26.00%	25%	24%

Footnotes:

1. This is the figure for the year produced in October 2006. The report is based on 8358 self assessments from across the city. Fuel poverty has increased dramatically due to increases in fuel costs, which are uncontrollable in terms of the Authority's activities.

Environmental Health		Our Performance						Comparison			Future Years		
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	2005/06 All England Top Quartile	Position against All England Quartiles	2005/06 Core Cities Average	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target
Council Priority Indicators													
CP-EN51 BV-217	Percentage of pollution control improvements to existing installations completed on time	new indicator			97.13%	90%	98.80%	100%	Middle	81.60%	90% (1)	90% (1)	90% (1)
Best Value Indicators													
BV-166a	Score out of 10 against a checklist of enforcement best practice for environmental health	82.0%	85.0%	96.7%	96.7%	96.7%	96.7%	100.0%	Middle	93.1%	96.7%	96.7%	96.7%
BV-166b	Score out of 10 against a checklist of enforcement best practice for trading standards	n/a	100.0%	100.00%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Top	95.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Local Key Indicators													
LKI- EH3	The percentage of food premises inspections that should have been carried out that were carried out for high-risk premises	86.6%	75.0%	42%	50.64%	50.0%	51.60%	no comparative data			100% (2)	100% (2)	100%
LKI- EH8	Percentage of private sector homes vacant for more than 6 months	new indicator					0.73%				2.69%	2.69%	2.69%

Footnotes:

1. Targets for this indicator have been set to maintain a performance level of 90% as pollution control measures for the forthcoming years will be harder to achieve.

2. These targets have been amended from those previously published as a result of a Food Standards Agency Audit which resulted in an Action Plan agreed with the FSA to increase the frequency of Food Hygiene Inspections to match the standard required by the Framework Agreement. The 100% target has been set to secure this.

Human Resources		Our Performance					Comparison			Future Years			
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	2005/06 All England Top Quartile	Position against All England Quartiles	2005/06 Core Cities Average	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target
Council Priority Indicators													
CP-PE62a	The implementation of smoking control measures by closing all staff smoke rooms in civic buildings, offices and depots	new indicator					100% (1)	no comparative data			n/a (1)	n/a (1)	n/a (1)
CP-PE62b	The implementation of smoking control measures by complying with legislation on smoke free premises						see footnote (2)				n/a (2)	n/a (2)	n/a (2)

Footnotes:

1. All smoking rooms in civic buildings, offices and depots were closed on 31st May 2006, consequently no further targets are required as the closure of the smoking rooms is permanent.

2. With effect from 1st July 2007, all enclosed public places and work places under the control of Leeds City Council will be smoke free to comply with new legislation.

Childcare and Early Years Development Service		Our Performance					Comparison			Future Years			
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	2005/06 All England Top Quartile	Position against All England Quartiles	2005/06 Core Cities Average	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target
Council Priority Indicators													
CP-EY50a	Number of families supported with childcare including before and after school clubs where parents are in work	new indicator			1,565	1,662	1,724	no comparative data			2,140 (1)	2,401 (1)	3,393 (1)
CP-EY50b	Number of families supported with childcare including before and after school clubs where parents are in work based learning, training or further education				398	400	470				583 (1)	655 (1)	925 (1)

Footnotes:

1. The above targets have been set, based on an additional 8 centres opening before April 2008 and then approximately another 5 opening before April 2009, with the remaining 19 due to open before April 2010, giving a total across the city of 65. An average has been taken from the actual 16 2006/07 and used against the potential number of centres that will be opened in 2007/08, 2008/9 and 2009/10. The slippage of the following 5 centres into next year has resulted in 8 rather than 13 opening before April 2008. The centres are: Lower Pudsey, Upper Pudsey, Horsforth, Yeadon and New Wortley.

Social Services for Children & Families		Our Performance					Comparison			Future Years			
Indicator	Title of Indicator	2002/03 Result	2003/04 Result	2004/05 Result	2005/06 Result	2006/07 Target	2006/07 Result	National PAF Optimum Performance Levels			2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target
Council Priority Indicators													
CP-CF54 BV-161 PAF A4	Ratio of former care leavers in employment, education or training at age 19.	amended Indicator		0.73%	0.73%	0.70%	0.87% Estimate	PAF 5* banding			0.90%	0.90%	